2006 Exhibit 1: Continuum of Care (CoC) Application

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of Community Planning and Development

Part I: CoC Organizational Structure

HUD-defined CoC Name:*	CoC Number*
Reno/Sparks/Washoe County	NV 501
*HUD-defined CoC names and numbers are available at: www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsav	•
not have a HIID defined CoC name and number, enter the name of your CoC and HIID will assign	vou a numbar

A: CoC Lead Organization Chart

CoC Lead Organization: Reno Area Alliance for the Homeless							
CoC Contact Person: Kelly Marschall							
Contact Person's Organization Name:	Social Entrepreneur	rs, Inc.					
Street Address: 6121 Lakeside Drive, S	uite 160						
City: Reno	_	State: NV	Zip: 89511				
Phone Number: 775-324-4567 x 11 Fax Number: 775-324-4941							
Email Address: kmarschall@socialent.com							

CoC-A

B: CoC Geography Chart

Sparks	6-digit Code
Reno	320150
Sparks	320156
Washoe County	329031

Geographic Area Name	6-digit Code

CoC-B

CoC Structure and Decision-Making Processes

C: CoC Groups and Meetings Chart

			leeti eque eck colu	ency only	y	Enter the number of organizations/ entities that are	
	CoC-Related Planning Groups	Monthly or More	Quarterly	Biannually	Annually	members of each CoC planning group listed on this chart.	
	Primary Decision-Making Group (list only one group)						
Name:	Coordinating Committee	X				8	
Role:	This group meets monthly to address policy and implementat	ion iss	ues	tha	.t		
	impact the CoC, setting goals and priorities for the CoC.						
Other	CoC Committees, Sub-Committees, Workgroups, etc.						
Name:	HMIS Steering Committee		X			8	
Role:	This committee provides oversight of the HMIS implementat	ion an	d en	sur	es c	compliance with	
	all safety, security, confidentiality, technical and data require	ments.					
Name:	Affordable Housing Committee		X			5	
Role:	This group explores and recommends actions to improve hou identifying existing and potential funding sources for rental a development.						
Name:	Rating and Ranking Committee				X	7	
Role:	This committee reviews applicants' APR's, conducts site visiverify performance, reviews Exhibit 2 and rates and ranks applicants.						
Name:	Data Committee		X			4	
Role:	This Committee conducts the annual point in time count, desi collection tools, recruits and trains volunteers, and validates a volunteers.	_		m			
Name:	Mainstream Resources		X			12	
Role:	This Committee, with assistance from a HUD TA vendor, has	met v	vith				
	Mainstream Resources representatives to remove barriers and	prom	ote	acc	ess		
	of homeless individual to mainstream resources for which the	y are	<u>elig</u> i	ible			
Name:	Reno Area Alliance for the Homeless	X				50	
Role:	This monthly meeting of all CoC participants reviews the acticurrent and future activities of participants, makes announced the CoC strategy for the homeless.						
Name:	Shelter Overflow Committee				X	8	
Role:	This Committee is responsible for designing a strategy to add	ress th	ie se	easc	onal	overflow of the	

Name:	Shelter Overflow Committee			8		
Role:	This Committee is responsible for designing a strategy to address the seasonal overflow of the					
	homeless shelter during times of great demand.					
Name:	Chronic Homelessness Committee	X		33		
Role:	This Committee is charged with developing a 10 year plan for ending chronic homelessness.					

CoC-C

D: CoC Planning Process Organizations Chart

The geographic areas noted in the following table include R=Reno, S=Sparks, W=Washoe County and ST=Statewide

	Specific Names of All CoC Organizations	Geographic Area Represented	Subpop Represente (no more	ed, if any*
	STATE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES			
	Bureau of Alcohol and Drub Abuse	ST	SA	
	Division of Mental Health and Developmental			
	Services	ST		
	Division of Aging Services	ST		
	Governor's Council on Developmental			
	Disabilities	ST		
	Governor's Statewide Policy Academy Team			
	on Homelessness	ST		
	Northern Nevada Adult Mental Health			
	Services	RSW	SMI	
	Nevada Housing Division	ST		
	Department of Employment Training and			
	Rehabilitation (DVOP)	ST	VETS	
	State of Nevada Job Connect	ST		
	LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES			
	Washoe County District Health Department	RSW	SA	HIV
	Washoe County Manager's Office	W RSW		
	Washoe County Social Services			
OR	Washoe County Specialty Courts	RSW RSW		
CT	2d Judicial District Court	RSW R		
PUBLIC SECTOR	City of Reno Community Development	K		
IC	Department	R		
] B I	City of Reno, City Manager's Office	S		
P	City of Sparks Community Development	5		
	PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCIES			
	Department of Housing and Urban	2011		
	Development	RSW		
	SCHOOL SYSTEMS / UNIVERSITIES			
	UNR Sanford Center for Aging	ST		
	Washoe County School District Board	RSW		
	Washoe County School District (WSCD)	W	Y	
	Children in Transition Program		Y	
	Orvis School of Nursing Instructor and	RSW		
	Students			
	UNR School of Social Work			
	LAW ENFORCEMENT / CORRECTIONS			
	Washoe County Sheriff's Office	W		
	Reno Police Department	R		
	Sparks Police Department	S		
	Washoe County District Attorney	W		
	Logar Worms of Landau			
	LOCAL WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT (WIA)			
	BOARDS			

	Sierra Nevada Job Corps	ST		
	OTHER			
	NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS			
	A Rainbow Place	RSW	HIV	
	Affordable Housing Resource Council			
	Children's Cabinet	RSW	Y	
	Committee to Aid Abused Women	RSW		
	Community Services Agency	RSW		
	Crisis Call Center	RSW		
	Family Resource Center Coalition	RSW	Y	
	Food Bank of Northern Nevada	RSW	_	
	Health Care for Homeless Veterans	RSW	VET	
	Kid's/Senior Korner	RSW	Y	
	Family Promise	RSW	Y	
	Nevada Legal Services	RSW	_	
	Northern Nevada Center for Independent	RSW		
	Living Living	RSW		
	Northern Nevada HOPES	RSW	HIV	
	Northern Nevada Community Housing	RSW	111 V	
	Resource Board	RSW		
	Northern Nevada Literacy Council	RSW		
OR	Planned Parenthood MarMonte	RSW		
CI	ReStart	RSW	SMI	
SE	Ridge House	R	SA	
Ξ	YMCA	RSW	Y	
VAJ	24/7 TLC	S	Y	
PRIVATE SECTOR	FAITH-BASED ORGANIZATIONS		1	
Ь	Catholic Community Resources	RSW		
	First Methodist Church	R		
	Good Shepherd Clothes Closet	S		
	Grace House	RSW		
	Life Quest Christian Center	RSW RSW		
	Reno Sparks Gospel Mission	RSW RSW		
	The Salvation Army	RSW	SA	VET
	Saint Thomas Aquinas Catholic Church	R	5A	VEI
	Sparks United Methodist	R		
	Trinity Episcopal Church	S		
	FUNDERS / ADVOCACY GROUPS	R		
		D.		
	Assemblywoman Sheila Leslie	R		
	Congressman Jim Gibbon's Office	RSW		
	Senator John Ensign's Office	RSW		
	Senator Harry Reid's Office	RSW		
	United Way	RSW		
	RUGINECCES (DANIZO DEVELODEDO RUGINECO			
	BUSINESSES (BANKS, DEVELOPERS, BUSINESS ASSOCIATIONS, ETC.)			
	11000CIATIONO, 121C.)			

Downtown Improvement Assoc.	R		
KRNV Television	RSW		
KOLO Television	RSW		
Microsoft Licensing	RSW		
Nevada Housing Coalition	ST		
Q&D Construction	RSW		
Rivers Edge Apartments	R		
Social Entrepreneurs	ST		
Charles Schwab Bank	ST		
Reno Gazette Journal	R		
HOSPITALS / MEDICAL REPRESENTATIVES			
Veterans Administration Medical Center HAWC Community Health Center	ST RSW	VET	
HOMELESS PERSONS			
Former client from Interfaith Hospitality Network	RSW	SA	
Mickey Lufkin, advocate 17 Homeless Individuals interviewed during point in time count	RSW RSW		

^{*}Subpopulations Key: Seriously Mentally III (SMI), Substance Abuse (SA), Veterans (VET), CoC-D HIV/AIDS (HIV), Domestic Violence (DV), and Youth (Y).

E: CoC Governing Process Chart

	Coc doverning i rocess chare	Yes	No
1.	Does the CoC have a separate planning and decision-making body/entity that is broadly representative of the public and private homeless service sectors, including homeless client/consumer interests? If no, please explain.	\boxtimes	
2.	Is the primary decision-making entity composed of at least 65 percent representation by the private sector (including consumer interests)? If no, please explain.	\boxtimes	
3.	Is the primary decision-making entity membership selected in an open and democratic process by the CoC membership? If no, please explain.	\boxtimes	
4.	Is there a Chair and Co-Chair representing both the private and public sector at the same time, with staggered 2-year terms and the Chair position rotating between the private and public sectors? If no, please explain.	\boxtimes	

5. Has the CoC developed a Code of Conduct for the CoC decision-making entity and its Chair and Co-chair? If no, please explain.This is under development and will be accomplished in 2006.				
6. The Chair and Co-Chair and all members of the CoC decision-making entity may not participate in decisions concerning awards of grants or provision of financial benefits to such member or the organization that such member represents. Have they recused themselves from considering projects in which they have an interest? If no, please explain.				
7. Does the CoC have a fiscal agent designated to receive funds from HUD? The CoC does not currently have a fiscal agent formally designated to receive funds from HUD. However, the three jurisdictions all participate in the CoC and also currently receive funds from HUD.		\boxtimes		
8. If your Continuum has not yet complied with <i>any</i> of the above broad standards for the planning and decision-making process, please describe the extent to which your CoC each guideline by the 2007 competition. Not applicable		eet		
		$C_{0}C_{0}E_{0}$		

CoC-E

6

F: CoC Project Review and Selection Chart

The CoC solicitation of projects and project selection should be conducted in a fair and impartial manner. Please mark all appropriate boxes to indicate all of the methods and processes the CoC used in 2006 to assess project(s) performance, effectiveness, and quality, particularly with respect to the Project Priorities Chart (CoC-Q). This applies to new and renewal projects. Check all that apply:

1. (Open Solicitation				
a.	Newspapers		e.	Outreach to Faith-Based Groups	
b.	Letters to CoC Membership	\boxtimes	f.	Announcements at CoC Meetings	\boxtimes
c.	Responsive to Public Inquiries	\boxtimes	g.	Announcements at Other Meetings	\boxtimes
d.	Email CoC Membership/Listserv	\boxtimes			
2. (Objective Rating Measures and Performa	nce A	Assessr	nent	
a.	CoC Rating & Review Committee Exists	\boxtimes	j.	Assess Spending (fast or slow)	\boxtimes
b.	Review CoC Monitoring Findings	\boxtimes	k.	Assess Cost Effectiveness	\boxtimes
0	Review HUD Monitoring Findings	\boxtimes	1.	Assess Provider Organization	\boxtimes
C.	Review HOD Monitoring Findings			Experience	
d	Review Independent Audit	M.	m.	Assess Provider Organization	\boxtimes
u.			Capacity		
e.	Review HUD APR	\boxtimes	n.	Evaluate Project Presentation	\boxtimes
f.	Review Unexecuted Grants	\boxtimes	0.	Review CoC Membership	
1.	Review Offexecuted Graffis			Involvement	
g.	Site Visit(s)	\boxtimes	p.	Review Match	\boxtimes
h.	Survey Clients		q.	Review Leveraging	\boxtimes
i.	Evaluate Project Readiness	\boxtimes			
3. \	oting/Decision System				
a.	Unbiased Panel / Review Committee	\boxtimes	e.	All CoC Present Can Vote	
b.	Consumer Representative Has a Vote		f.	Consensus	
c.	CoC Membership Required to Vote	\boxtimes	g.	Abstain if conflict of interest	\boxtimes
d.	One Vote per Organization				
	-				CoC-F

G: CoC Written Complaints Chart

Were there any written complaints received by the CoC regarding any CoC matter in the last 12 months?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
If Yes, briefly describe the complaints and how they were resolved.	
Not applicable	
	~ ~ ~

CoC-G

Part II: CoC Housing and Service Needs H: CoC Services Inventory Chart

(1)	(2)						(3)						(4	1)				
		Pre	ven	tion		Ou	trea	ach			Sup	po	rtiv	e S	ervi	ices		
Provider Organizations	Mortgage Assistance	Rental Assistance	Utilities Assistance	Counseling/Advocacy	Legal Assistance	Street Outreach	Mobile Clinic	Law Enforcement	Case Management	Life Skills	Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Mental Heath Counseling	Healthcare	HIV/AIDS	Education	Employment	Child Care	Transportation
Adolescent Treatment Center												X						
Adult Protective Services				X					X									
American Therapeutic Association											X							
Bristlecone						X				X	X							X
Care Chest													X					
Casual Labor Department, State of Nevada																X		
Center for Employment Training															X	X		
Center for Family Enrichment				X						X	X							
Chemical Dependency Treatment											X							
Children's Cabinet						X				X		X				X	X	
Citifare																		X
City of Reno Parks and Recreation						X						X						
Community Services Agency	X		X	X						X							X	
Community to Aid Abused Women (CAAW)				X		X						X					X	X
Consumer Credit Affiliates		X		X														
Consumer Credit Counselors Management Services		X		X														
Crisis Call Center						X					X	X						
DIA 10-year plan chair															X			
Disabled Veterans Outreach Program, State of Nevada																X		
Early Head Start																	X	
Faith House						X						X						
Family Counseling						X					X							
Family Promise										X								
Family Resource Centers									X	X					X		X	X
The Food Bank of Northern Nevada						X									X			
Good Shepherd's Clothes Closet, Inc.																		
Head Start																	X	
Health Access Washoe County (HAWC)						X						X	X					

CoC-H

Health Care for Homeless Veterans				X		X			X		X	X	X	X		X		X
Homeless Evaluation Liaison Program (HELP)						X		X								X		X
Kids to Seniors Korner		X	X			X	X	X	X				X					
Mental Health Inmate Assistance Program						X					X	X						
Nevada AIDS Foundation		X												X				
Nevada JobConnect															X	X		
Nevada Legal Services					X													
Northern Nevada Adult Mental Health Services (NNAMHS)	X	X	X	X		X			X	X	X	X				X		X
Northern Nevada Center for Independent Living (NNIL)																		
Northern Nevada Community Housing Resource Board																		
Northern Nevada HOPES						X	X					X	X	X				
Northern Nevada Literacy Council				X						X					X	X		
Reno Cancer Foundation		X																X
Reno Evaluation Center											X							
Reno Police Department						X												X
Reno Sparks Gospel Mission (RSGM)						X				X	X							X
ReStart	X	X	X	X		X			X	X	X	X						
Ridge House						X					X							
Salvation Army						X				X	X							X
Schwab Bank	X																	
Washoe County Senior Centers					X													X
Sparks Community Development Block Grant Housing Rehabilitation Program																		
STEP 2						X				X	X						X	X
Catholic Community Services		X	X															
Veterans Opportunity Center																		
Washoe County Adult Services				X					X				X					
Washoe County Community Development Block Grant Program Washoe County Department of Social																		
Services																		<u> </u>
Washoe County Detention Facilities						X					X	X						
Washoe County Sheriff's Department						X												X
West Hills Hospital												X						
24/7						X						X						

CoC Housing Inventory and Unmet Needs

I: CoC Housing Inventory Charts

Emergency Shelter	r: Fundamental C	nents i	n CoC	System	– Н о	ousin	g Inve	ntory	y Chai	rt			
		HMIS	Numl	per of	Geo	Targe	t Pop	Ye	ar-Rou	ınd	Total Year-	Oth	er Beds
Provider Name	Facility Name	Part. Code	Year-l Beds in	Round HMIS	Code	A	В	Fam. Units	Fam. Beds		Round Beds	Seas- onal	Overflow & Voucher
Current Inventory			Ind.	Fam.									
Casa De Vida	Casa De Vida	N			320150	SF				8	8		
The Children's Cabinet	Emergency Shelter at the McGee Center	N			320150	YMF				6	6		
Reno Sparks Gospel Mission	Reno Assistance Center	1	190		320150	SM				190	190		
ReStart	STARS	1	5	92	320156	FC		20	92	5	97		
United Way	Emergency Shelter	N			320150	FC							1
Family Promise	Hosting Program	2		14	320150	FC		5	14		14		
Safe Embrace	Emergency Shelter	P			320150	FC	DV	1	10		10		
Ridge House	Emergency Shelter	2	6		320150	SMF				6	6		
	Subt	OTALS:	201	106	SUBTOT In	CUR VENT		26	116	215	331		1
New Inventory in Pl (Feb. 1, 2005 – Jan. 31,			Ind.	Fam.									
Reno Sparks Gospel Mission	Reno Assistance Center		63		320150	SM				63	63		
	Subt	OTALS:	63			TOTAL NVENT				63	63		
Inventory Under De	evelopment	Anticip	ated Occ Date	cupancy									
Women Shelter	Emergency Shelter	Decem	ber 200	6			3201 50	SF				55	55

SUBTOTAL INVENTORY UNDER DEVELOPMENT:								
Unmet Need Totals: 15 72 144								
1. Total Year-Round Individual ES Beds:	215 4. Total Year-Round Family Beds: 1							
2. Year-Round Individual ES Beds in HMIS:	201	5. Year-Round Family ES Bed	s in HM	IIS:			106	
3. HMIS Coverage Individual ES Beds: Divide line 2 by line 1 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number.	93% 6. HMIS Coverage Family ES Beds: Divide line 5 by line 4 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number.							

I: CoC Housing Inventory Charts

Transitional Housing: Fundament

CoC-I

Transitional Housing: Fi	undamental Con	nponents in	CoC	Systen	n – Hous	sing I	nver	itory (Chart		
			Num	ber of	Geo	Targe	t Pop	Y	ear-Ro	und	Total
Provider Name	Facility Name	Code Year-Round Beds in HMIS		Code	A	В	Family Units	Family Beds	Individ. Beds	Year- Round Beds	
Current Inventory			Ind.	Fam.							
Bristlecone Family Resources	North Star program	P			320156	SMF				20	20
Casa De Vida	Transitional Housing	P			320150	FC		2	4		4
Committee to Aid Abused Women	Transitional Housing	N			320156	FC	DV	7	21		21
Grace House	Transitional Housing	N			320156	SM				15	15
Reno Sparks Gospel Mission	Transitional Housing	4	91		320150	SMF				91	91
Step 1	Transitional Housing	P			320150	SM				16	16
Step 2	Lighthouse of the Sierra	P			329031	FC		25	71		71
Step 2	Congregate Housing	P			320150	FC				20	20
		SUBTOTALS:	91		SUBTOT I	r. Cur nvent			96	162	258

New Inventory in Place in 2005 (Feb. 1, 2005 – Jan. 31, 2006)				nd.	Fam.							
Not applicable												
	\$	SUBTOTAL	۵:	0	0		_	NEW	_	0	0	0
Inventory Under Developn	nent	Anticipate	d Occi	upanc	y Date					_	-	
Not applicable												
		SUBTOTA	L INV	ENT	ORY UN	DER DEVI	ELOPN	MENT:	0	0	0	0
					Un	MET NEE	ED TO	TALS:	62	96	24	0
1. Total Year-Round Individual T	H Beds:]	162	4. To	tal Year-	-Round Fa	mily B	Beds:				96
2. Year-Round Individual TH Bed	ds in HMIS:	Ç	91	5. Ye	ear-Roun	d Family 7	ГН Вес	ds in H	IMIS:			0
3. HMIS Coverage Individual TH	Beds:	4	56%	6. HMIS Coverage Family TH Beds:								0%
Divide line 2 by line 1 and multiply by	e line 2 by line 1 and multiply by 100. Round to a whole number.					y line 4 and	l multip	oly by 1	00. Ro	und to a	whole	

I: CoC Housing Inventory Charts

CoC-I

Permanent Suppor	tive Housing*: Fu	ındameı	ntal C	ompor	ents in	CoC	Syste	m – Ho	using In	ventory Cl	hart
		HMIS		ber of	Geo	Target Population			Year-Ro	und	Total Year-
Provider Name	Facility Name	Part. Code	HMIS		Code	A	В	Family Units	Family Beds	Individual /CH Beds	Round Beds
Current Inventory			Ind.	Fam.							
Northern Nevada	Board and Care,	8	218			S					
Adult Mental Health	Shelter Plus Care					M					
Services	and SLA				320150	F				218/65	218
Veteran's	Health Care for	N				S					
Administration	Homeless Vets					M					
					320150	F				20	20
Northern Nevada	Cottonwood	5		28		FC					
Community Housing	Village										
Resource Board					320150			9	28		28

ReStart	Anchor and Anchor	5	48	37		FC					
	Expansion				32903	1		12	37	48/46	85
Washoe County	General Assistance	N				S					
Social Services	Boarding Homes					M					
					32015	0 F				55/12	55
	SUB	TOTALS:	266	65	SUBT	OT. CU	RRENT	21	65	341/123	406
						INVEN	TORY:			CH	
New Inventory in (Feb. 1, 2005 – Jan. 3			Ind.	Fam.							
ReStart	Anchor Expansion II		35		3201 50	SMF				35/35 CH	35
	Sub	 ГОТALS:	35	0	Su	BTOTA				35/35	35
						INVEN	TORY:				
Inventory Under	Development	Anticip	ated Occi Date	ipancy							
Washoe County	Shelter Plus Care	August	2006		3201 50	SMF				8/8CH	8/8 CH
		<u> </u>	-	TT						0/0 GH	0/0 CII
	SU	BTOTAL	INVENT							8/8 CH	8/8 CH
				U	NMET N	EED TO	TALS:	127	474	347	
1. Total Year-Round l	Individual PH Beds:		341	4. 7	Total Yea		65				
	dual PH Beds in HMIS:		266		Year-Rou		65				
3. HMIS Coverage Inc			77%		HMIS Co		100%				
(Divide line 2 by line 1 a whole number.)	and multiply by 100. Round to	a			(Divide line 5 by line 4 and multiply by 100. Round to a who number.)						

CoC-I

J: CoC Housing Inventory Data Sources and Methods Chart

Complete the following charts based on data collection methods and reporting for the Housing Inventory Chart, including Unmet Need determination. The survey must be for a 24-hour point-in-time count during the last week of January 2006.

(1) Indicate date on which Housing Inventory count was completed: 01/26/2006
(2) Identify the <u>primary</u> method used to complete the Housing Inventory Chart (check one):
Housing inventory survey to providers – CoC distributed a housing inventory survey (via mail,
fax, or e-mail) to homeless programs/providers to update current bed inventories, target populations
for programs, beds under development, etc.
On-site or telephone housing inventory survey – CoC conducted a housing inventory survey (via
phone or in-person) of homeless programs/providers to update current bed inventories, target
populations for programs, beds under development, etc.
HMIS – Used HMIS data to complete the Housing Inventory Chart
(3) Indicate the percentage of providers completing the housing inventory survey:
100% Emergency shelter providers
75% Transitional housing providers
100% Permanent Supportive Housing providers
(4) Indicate steps to ensure data accuracy for 2006 Housing Inventory Chart (check all that apply):
Instructions – Provided written instructions for completing the housing inventory survey.
Training – Trained providers on completing the housing inventory survey.
Updated prior housing inventory information – Providers submitted updated 2005 housing
inventory to reflect 2006 inventory.
Follow-up – CoC followed-up with providers to ensure the maximum possible response rate and
accuracy of the housing inventory survey.
Confirmation – Providers or other independent entity reviewed and confirmed information in 2006
Housing Inventory Chart after it was completed.
HMIS – Used HMIS to verify data collected from providers for Housing Inventory Chart.
Other – specify:
Unmet Need:
(5) Indicate type of data that was used to determine unmet need (check all that apply):
Sheltered count (point-in-time)
Unsheltered count (point-in-time)
Housing inventory (number of beds available)
Local studies or data sources – specify:
National studies or data sources – specify:
Provider opinion through discussions or survey forms
Other – specify:
(6) Indicate the <i>primary</i> method used to calculate or determine unmet need (check one):
Stakeholder Discussion – CoC stakeholders met and reviewed data to determine CoC's unmet need
Calculation – Used local point-in-time (PIT) count data and housing inv. to calculate unmet need
Applied statistics – Used local PIT enumeration data and applied national or other local statistics
HUD unmet need formula – Used HUD's unmet need formula*
Other – specify:
(7) If your CoC made adjustments to calculated unmet need, please explain how and why.
Not applicable

CoC-J

^{*}For further instructions, see Questions and Answers Supplement on the CoC portion of http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm

CoC Homeless Population and Subpopulations

K: CoC Point-in-Time Homeless Population and Subpopulations Chart

Indicate date of last point-in-time count: 01/26/2006

Part 1: Homeless Population		ltered	Unsheltered	Total
rare 1. Homeress ropulation	Emergency	y Transitional	Olisheiter eu	Total
Number of Families with Children (Family Households):	10	8	0	18
1. Number of Persons in Families with Children:	16	18	0	34
2. Number of Single Individuals and Persons in Households without Children:	216	127	83	426
(Add Lines Numbered 1 & 2) Total Persons:	232	145	83	460
Part 2: Homeless Subpopulations	She	ltered	Unsheltered	Total
a. Chronically Homeless (For sheltered, list persons in emergency shelter <i>only</i>)		39	20	59
b. Severely Mentally Ill		86		86
c. Chronic Substance Abuse		43		43
d. Veterans		63		63
e. Persons with HIV/AIDS		0		0
f. Victims of Domestic Violence		23		23
g. Unaccompanied Youth (Under 18)		5	*	5
If applicable, complete the following section to indicate the source of the information by classical Data Source: Data Source: Point-in-time count				Be sure
Part 3: Hurricane Katrina Evacuees	S	heltered	Unsheltered	Total
Total number of Katrina evacuees		0	0	0
Of this total, enter the number of evacuees		0	0	0
homeless prior to Katrina				

L: CoC Homeless Population and Subpopulations Data Sources & Methods Chart

*Optional for Unsheltered

L-1:	Snettered Homeless Population and Subpopulations
(1)	Check the <u>primary</u> method used to enumerate sheltered homeless persons in the CoC
(che	eck one):
	Point-in-Time (PIT) <u>no interview</u> – Providers did not interview sheltered clients during the
	point-in-time count
	PIT with interviews – Providers interviewed each sheltered individual or household during the
	point-in-time count
\boxtimes	PIT <u>plus</u> sample of interviews – Providers conducted a point-in-time count and interviewed a

CoC-K

	random sample of sheltered persons or households (for example, every 5th or 10th person)
	PIT plus extrapolation – Information gathered from a sample of interviews with sheltered
Ш	persons or households is extrapolated to the total sheltered population
	Administrative Data – Providers used administrative data (case files, staff expertise) to
Ш	complete client population and subpopulation data for sheltered homeless persons
	HMIS – CoC used HMIS to complete the point-in-time sheltered count and subpopulation
Ш	information
	Other – please specify:
(2)	Indicate steps taken to ensure data quality of the sheltered homeless enumeration (check
	that apply):
	Instructions – Provided written instructions to providers for completing the sheltered point-in-
\boxtimes	time count
\boxtimes	Training – Trained providers on completing the sheltered point-in-time count
	Remind and Follow-up – Reminded providers about the count and followed up with providers
\boxtimes	to ensure the maximum possible response rate and accuracy
	HMIS – Used HMIS to verify data collected from providers for the sheltered point-in-time
\boxtimes	count
\boxtimes	Other – please specify: Data Subcommittee reviews and validates all street count data
(3)	How often will sheltered counts of sheltered homeless people take place in the future?
$\overrightarrow{\sqcap}$	Biennial (every two years)
	Annual
Ħ	Semi-annual
Ħ	Other – please specify:
(4)	Month and Year when next count of sheltered homeless persons will occur: 01/2007
	Indicate the percentage of providers completing the populations and subpopulations
	vey:
Bul	100 % Emergency shelter providers
	65 % Transitional housing providers
	N/A % Permanent Supportive Housing providers
	CoC-L-1
L-2:	Unsheltered Homeless Population and Subpopulations*
	Check the primary method used to enumerate unsheltered homeless persons in the CoC:
Ť	Public places count – CoC conducted a point-in-time count without client interviews
	Public places count with interviews – CoC conducted a point-in-time count and
L	interviewed every unsheltered homeless person encountered during the public places count
	Sample of interviews CoC conducted a point in time count and interviewed a random
\boxtimes	
	sample of unsheltered persons
	sample of unsheltered persons
	Extrapolation – CoC conducted a point-in-time count and the information gathered from a
	sample of unsheltered persons
	Extrapolation – CoC conducted a point-in-time count and the information gathered from a sample of interviews was extrapolated to total population of unsheltered homeless people counted
	Extrapolation – CoC conducted a point-in-time count and the information gathered from a sample of interviews was extrapolated to total population of unsheltered homeless people
	Extrapolation – CoC conducted a point-in-time count and the information gathered from a sample of interviews was extrapolated to total population of unsheltered homeless people counted Public places count using probability sampling – High and low probabilities assigned to
	Extrapolation – CoC conducted a point-in-time count and the information gathered from a sample of interviews was extrapolated to total population of unsheltered homeless people counted Public places count using probability sampling – High and low probabilities assigned to designated geographic areas based on the number of homeless people expected to be found
	Extrapolation – CoC conducted a point-in-time count and the information gathered from a sample of interviews was extrapolated to total population of unsheltered homeless people counted Public places count using probability sampling – High and low probabilities assigned to designated geographic areas based on the number of homeless people expected to be found in each area. The CoC selected a statistically valid sample of each type of area to enumerate
	Extrapolation – CoC conducted a point-in-time count and the information gathered from a sample of interviews was extrapolated to total population of unsheltered homeless people counted Public places count using probability sampling – High and low probabilities assigned to designated geographic areas based on the number of homeless people expected to be found in each area. The CoC selected a statistically valid sample of each type of area to enumerate on the night of the count and extrapolated results to estimate the entire homeless population.

	Other – please specify:
(2) In	dicate the level of coverage of the point-in-time count of unsheltered homeless people:
	Complete coverage – The CoC counted every block of the jurisdiction
	Known locations – The CoC counted areas where unsheltered homeless people are known to congregate or live
	Combination – CoC counted central areas using complete coverage and also visited known locations
	Used service-based or probability sampling (coverage is not applicable)
(3) Inc	dicate community partners involved in point-in-time unsheltered count (check all that
apply	V 1
	Outreach teams
	Law Enforcement
	Service Providers
	Community volunteers
	Other – please specify: social work students at local university, members of the faith
\boxtimes	based community, representatives from Parks and Recreation Department and the
	Bureau of Land Management
(4) Inc	dicate steps taken to ensure the data quality of the unsheltered homeless count (check all
	apply):
\boxtimes	Training – Conducted a training for point-in-time enumerators
	HMIS – Used HMIS to check for duplicate information
	Other: We conduct the sheltered and unsheltered counts on the same day to ensure no duplication. We conduct the count at 4 am prior to all emergency shelters opening their doors to release their clients so the only people counted are those on the street. We have used the same team of volunteers for the past four years. We pair new volunteers with veteran volunteers and conduct a validation review for each quadrant walked by the team when the volunteers return to turn in their street count sheet.
(5) Ho	ow often will counts of unsheltered homeless people take place in the future?
	Biennial (every two years)
\boxtimes	Annual
	Semi-annual
	Quarterly
	Other – please specify:
(6) M	onth and Year when next count of unsheltered homeless persons will occur: 01/2007
	e refer to 'A Guide to Counting Unsheltered Homeless People' for more information on unsheltered CoC-L-2
enumer	ration techniques.
CoC	Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)
	oC HMIS Charts
	hould complete this section in conjunction with the lead agency responsible for the HMIS. All ation is to be as of the date of application submission.
Organiz	IMIS Lead Organization Information varion Name: ReStart Contact Person: Genny Wilson
	(775) 324-2622 Email: gwilson@restartreno.org
Organiz	cation Type: State/local government Non-profit/homeless provider Other CoC-M-1

M-2: List HUD-defined CoC Name(s) and Number(s) for every CoC included in HMIS

Implementation:

Dana/Cranks/Washas County Co.C. NV 501 Navada Balanca of State	CoC #	HUD-Defined CoC Name*	CoC #	HUD-Defined CoC Name*
Reno/Sparks/ washoe County CoC N v - 501 Nevada Balance of State N	NV-502	Nevada Balance of State	NV- 501	Reno/Sparks/Washoe County CoC

*Find HUD-defined CoC names & numbers at: http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm

CoC-M-2

M-3: HMIS Implementation Status

HMIS Data Entry		Anticipated Data Entry	If no current or anticipated data entry date, indicate
Start Date for your CoC		Start Date for your CoC	reason:
(mm/yyyy)	or	(mm/yyyy)	☐ New CoC in 2006
January 2005	01	Not applicable	Still in planning/software selection process Still in initial implementation process
			Sun in mutal implementation process

CoC-M-3

M-4: Client Records**

Calendar	Total Client Records Entered in	Total Unduplicated Client Records Entered in
Year	HMIS / Analytical Database (Duplicated)	HMIS / Analytical Database
2004	Not applicable	Not applicable
2005	1556 (25 duplicates)	1531

CoC-M-4

M-5: HMIS Participation**

a) HMIS participation by program type and funding source (please review instructions)						
		Number of agencies	Number of agencies			
Program Type	Total number	participating in HMIS	participating in HMIS <u>not</u>			
Program Type	of agencies	receiving HUD	receiving HUD McKinney-			
		McKinney-Vento funds	Vento funds			
Street Outreach	0	0	0			
Emergency Shelter	4/8	2	2			
Transitional Housing	1/7	1	0			
Permanent Supportive Housing	3/5	3	0			
TOTALS:	8/20	6	2			

b) Definition of bed coverage in HMIS (please review instructions)	
Program Type	Date achieved or anticipate achieving
8	75% bed coverage (mm/yyyy)
Emergency Shelter (all beds)	05/2005
Transitional Housing (all beds)	05/2007
Permanent Supportive Housing (McKinney-Vento funded beds only)	08/2005

Challenges and Barriers: Briefly describe any significant challenges/barriers the CoC has experienced in:

- 1. HMIS implementation
- 2. HMIS Data and Technical Standards Final Notice requirements

The following barriers have been identified by the lead agency in implementing HMIS and in complying with the Data and Technical standards:

- Acceptance
 - Some agencies are resistant to the whole idea of having to use the HMIS and as such are resistant to taking necessary steps to successfully implement. Domestic violence providers especially.
- Awareness
 - o When being contacted to begin the HMIS connectivity process, some agencies don't know what the system is and/or why they have to participate. This is often a result of changes in staffing/loss of the agency contact with the HMIS knowledge.
- Leadership Changes
 - o Changes in executive management within participating agencies impact the implementation schedule.
- Inter and Intra agency communication
 - IT departments are often not oriented to HMIS by their managements and therefore unaware of what the lead agency's install team role is or how HMIS impacts their agency.
- Advocacy
 - O Advocates for HMIS usage are needed on both community and agency levels. Optimal participation and usage requires a role at each agency responsible for ensuring effective HMIS implementation. Without at least one person in each agency who can advocate for system usage and who is able to provide the implementation support needed, successful HMIS connection and usage is difficult.
- Agency information related to hardware, software and connectivity needed for proper set up into HMIS is often incomplete or inadequate for the system to be set up optimally.
- Dual data entry/ Multiple systems
 - o Some agencies are being required to use additional databases and this poses a number of difficulties. NNHIPS BADA Program has been the most recently mentioned.

**For further instructions on charts M-4 and M-5, see Instructions section at the beginning of application.

CoC-M-5

M-6: Training, Data Quality and Implementation of HMIS Data & Technical Standards

1.	Training Provided (check all that apply)	YES	N	0
	Basic computer training	\boxtimes	E	
	HMIS software training	X		
	Privacy / Ethics training	\boxtimes		
	Security Training	X		
	System Administrator training	\boxtimes		
2.	. CoC Process/Role			
	Is there a plan for aggregating all data to a central location, at least annually?			
	Is there a plan to monitor compliance with HMIS Data & Technical Standards Final Notice?	\boxtimes		
3.	Data Collection Entered into the HMIS			
	Do all participating agencies submit universal data elements for all homeless persons served?			\leq
	Do all agencies required to complete a HUD APR, except agencies meeting the definition of domestic violence provider, submit program level data elements to HMIS?	\boxtimes	Г	
4.	Security: Participating agencies have:		_	
	Unique username and password access?	\boxtimes	L	
	Secure location?	\boxtimes	Ļ	
	Locking screen savers?		L	
	Virus protection with auto update?	\boxtimes	L	
	Individual or network firewalls?	\boxtimes	L	
	Restricted access for HMIS accessed via public forums (e.g. PKI digital certificates or IP filtering)?	\boxtimes	L	
5.	Security: Agency responsible for centralized HMIS data collection and storage has:			
	Procedures for off-site storage of HMIS data?	X		
	Disaster recovery plan that has been <u>tested</u> ?	X		
6.	Privacy Requirements			
	Have additional State confidentiality provisions been implemented?	\boxtimes		
	Is there a "Purpose for data collection" sign at each intake desk for all participating agencies?		Г	
	Does each participating agency have a written privacy policy, including the uses and disclosures of information	\boxtimes	Г	
	Does each participating agency have a privacy policy posted on its website (if applicable)?	\boxtimes	Г	
7.	Data Quality: CoC has protocols for:			
	Client level data quality (i.e. missing birth dates etc.)?	X		
	Program level data quality (i.e. data not entered by agency in over 14 days)?	\boxtimes		
	Assessing CoC bed coverage (i.e. % of beds)?	\boxtimes		
8.	Unduplication of Client Records: CoC process:			
	Uses data in the HMIS exclusively to generate unduplicated count?			abla
	Uses data integration or data warehouse to generate unduplicated count?	\boxtimes		

CoC-M-6

CoC-M-6

Part III: CoC Strategic Planning

N: CoC 10-Year Plan, Objectives, and Action Steps Chart

Objectives to End Chronic Homelessness and Move Families and Individuals to Permanent Housing	Local Action Steps (How are you going to do it? List action steps to be completed within the next 12 months.)	Measurable Achievement in 12 months	Measurable Achievement in 5 years	Measurable Achievement in 10 years	Lead Person (Who is responsible for accomplishing CoC Objectives?)
EXAMPLE: 1. Create new PH beds for chronically homeless persons.	1. Expand New Hope Housing project with 5 new TRA S+C beds for chronically homeless persons	5 beds	20 beds	50 beds	Carol Smith: Chair, CoC Housing Committee
1. Create new PH beds for chronically homeless persons.	1.1 Implement new Shelter Plus Care program for the chronically homeless with 8 beds by September 2006 1.2 Promote new Shelter Plus Care applicants for 2006 CoC Competition	8 beds	24 beds	32 beds	Gabrielle Enfield, Co-chair CoC Coordinating Committee
2. Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in PH over 6 months to 71%.	2.1 Identify and address barriers to maintaining housing for PH clients2.2 Provide resources and supportive services to maintain housing	259 client s	296 client s	360 client s	Case Managers for: ReStart, NNAMHS, Cottonwood Village Washoe County Social Services
3. Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from TH to PH to 61%.	 3.1 Create 10 additional PH beds to serve persons moving from TH to PH 3.2 Conduct outreach with TH providers to assist them in linking clients to new beds. 	10 beds	50 beds	100 beds	Jodi Royal- Goodwin Community Resources Program Manager City of Reno
4. Increase percentage of homeless persons becoming employed by 11%.	 4.1 Link employment assistance services to 30 homeless persons through PSH projects 4.2 Assist homeless individuals in identifying and applying for employment suitable to their needs and skills 4.3 Identify and provide support to homeless clients to maintain employment 	30 indivi duals	150 indivi duals	300 indivi duals	Case Managers for: ReStart, NNAMHS, Cottonwood Village Washoe County Social Services

	5.1 Increase provider participation by 10 % each year through outreach and training.	10 % incre ase	50% incre ase	100% Increas	Genny Wilson,
5. Ensure that the CoC has a functional HMIS system.	5.2 Conduct training with new provides 2 x per year5.3 Review data to determine service	2 traini ngs	10 traini ng	20 traini ng	representative of HMIS Lead Agency
	delivery needs and performance within the CoC	annual ly	5 x	10 x	
Other CoC Objectives	in 2006				
6. Implement Shelter	6.1 Assist planning team in	55	55	55	Shelter Planning
for Women by	designing and implementing	beds	beds	beds	Committee
December 2006	shelter for 55 women				

CoC-N

O: CoC Discharge Planning Policy Chart

Publicly Funded Institution(s) or System(s) of Care in CoC Geographic Area	Initial Discussion	Protocol in Development	Formal Protocol Finalized*	Formal Protocol Implemented*
Foster Care	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Yes □ No		
Health Care	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Mental Health	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No
Corrections	☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No

Foster Care:

The Division of Child and Family Services is responsible for the oversight of all independent living programs in Nevada. The goal of Nevada's Independent Living Program is to provide children making the transition from placement to independence with the skills and resources necessary to make them independent and productive members of society. Nevada's Independent Living Program is a set of services available to all foster youth between the ages of 15.5 until the age of 21. The Division considers all eligible foster youth to include those youth who are in the care and custody of the Division, Washoe County Department of Social Services, or Clark County Department of Family Services. The Division considers foster care to be the legal status of the child. The physical placement of the child does not determine the eligibility for independent living services. Independent living services may continue with the child after permanency has been achieved, depending on the needs of the child.

In 2000, the Nevada State Legislature passed legislation creating the Assistance for Former Foster Youth fund by taxing the copying of real estate filing transactions throughout the state. This fund, provided by AB94, was specifically created to provide the necessary goods and services to Nevada youth who have aged out of the foster care system until the youth reached the age of 21. Goods and services allowable to youth include, but are not limited to job training, housing assistance, case management, and medical insurance. The legislation directs the State to limit the administrative costs of the fund to 10% or less. The Legislative Council Bureau, with the concurrence of the Office of the Attorney General, determined that the fund could also be used to assist youth who are currently in the care and custody of the state with their transition to independence. During the Child and Family Services Review in February 2004, Nevada was commended for the creation of this fund, and the fund was noted as a promising practice in Nevada.

Health Care:

In development by Governor's Interagency Council on Homelessness.

Mental Health:

NNAMHS has policies and procedures in place to ensure that persons leaving publicly funded institutions or systems of care do not end up homeless when discharged from the facility. The policy states:

Social Services will have the overall responsibility for the discharge planning process. The process is begun at admission and includes the generation of an initial discharge plan which may be revised, updated, and finalized over time. The plan may include assessing discharge needs, referring the patient to Outpatient Services, identifying and mobilizing community resources, assisting patients in setting up appointments for current and aftercare needs, formulation of an

aftercare program based on available resources, and assisting with obtaining resources for housing, food, financial assistance, etc. The final discharge plan is reviewed with the patient, family, and significant others as applicable prior to discharge.

Corrections:

The Department of Corrections (DOC) has policies and procedures in place to ensure that persons leaving publicly funded institutions or systems of care do not end up homeless when discharged from the facility. Nevada Revised Statutes, states that the Director of the Department of Corrections (DOC) may enter into contracts with one or more public or private entities to provide the following services, as necessary and appropriate, to offenders or parolees participating in a program:

- (a) Transitional housing;
- (b) Treatment pertaining to substance abuse or mental health;
- (c) Training in life skills;
- (d) Vocational rehabilitation and job skills training; and
- (e) Any other services required by offenders or parolees who are participating in a program.

The DOC provides referrals and information regarding **Permanent housing.** DOC also provides training in life skills that includes training in the areas of:

- (a) Parenting;
- (b) Improving human relationships;
- (c) Preventing domestic violence;
- (d) Maintaining emotional and physical health;
- (e) Preventing abuse of alcohol and drugs;
- (f) Preparing for and obtaining employment; and
- (g) Budgeting, consumerism and personal finances.

The DOC has established the goal to develop a continuum of services and programs that will prepare the offender at specific stages of his/her sentence for re-entry and release into society.

CoC-O

P: CoC Coordination Chart

Consolidated Plan Coordination	YES	NO
a. Do Con Plan planners, authors and other Con Plan stakeholders participate in CoC	\bowtie	
general planning meetings?		Ш
b. Do CoC members participate in Con Plan planning meetings, focus groups, or public	\bowtie	
forums?		Ш
c. Were CoC strategic plan goals addressing homelessness and chronic homelessness used	\boxtimes	
in the development of the Con Plan?		Ш
Jurisdictional 10-year Plan Coordination		
a. Are there separate formal jurisdictional 10-year Plan(s) being developed and/or being		
implemented within your CoC geography? (If No, you may skip to the next section of	\boxtimes	
this chart.)		
b. Do 10-year Plan conveners, authors and other stakeholders participate in CoC general	\boxtimes	
planning meetings?		Ш
c. Have 10-year Plan participants taken steps to align their planning process with the local	\boxtimes	
CoC plan?		<u> </u>
d. Were CoC strategic plan goals used in the development of the 10-year Plan(s)?	\boxtimes	Ш
e. Provide the number of jurisdictions within your CoC geography that have formally	3	3
implemented a 10-year plan(s).		
1 oney frequency coordination	YES	NO
a. Do CoC members participate in State Policy Academy meetings, focus groups, public	\bowtie	
forums, or listservs?		ш
b. Were CoC strategic plan goals adopted by the CoC as a result of	\boxtimes	
communication/coordination with the State Policy Academy Team?		Ш
c. Has the CoC or any of its projects received state funding as a result of its coordination		\bowtie
with the State Policy Academy?	Ш	
Public Housing Agency Coordination		
a. Do CoC members meet with CoC area PHAs to improve coordination with and access to	\boxtimes	
mainstream housing resources?		Ш
Coordination with State Education Agencies		
a. Did the CoC provide the state education agency with a list of emergency and transitional		
housing facilities located within the CoC boundaries that serve families with school-age	\boxtimes	
children or school-age unaccompanied youth under the age of 18?		_
-		

CoC-P

^{*}A State Policy Academy is a state-level process designed to help state and local policymakers improve access to mainstream services for people who are homeless. For more information about getting involved in a State Policy Academy, see http://www.hrsa.gov/homeless.

CoC 2006 Funding Priorities

Q: CoC Project Priorities Chart

For further instructions for filling out this section, see the Instructions section.

HUD-defined CoC Name:*Reno, Sparks and Washoe County							CoC #: NV 501			
(1) SF-424	(2)	(3) (4) (5)			(6)		(7) Program and Component Type**			
Applicant Name	Project Sponsor	_	Priority	Requested Project	Term	SHP	SHP	S+C	SRO	
(Please Remove Examples)	Name	Name	Pri	Amount ***	T	New	Renewal	New	New	
Washoe County	ReStart	Shelter Plus Care II	1	286,080	5			SRA		
ReStart	ReStart	ANCHOR	2	501,744	1		TRA			
ReStart	ReStart	HMIS	3	110,292	1		HMIS			
Community Housing Resource Board	Community Housing Resource Board	Cottonwood Village	4	51,955	1		SSO			
(8) Subto	(8) Subtotal: Requested Amount for CoC Competitive Projects:***									
(9) Shelter Plus C	are Renewals:***	* *		ı		S+C C	ompon	ent Ty	pe**	
NNAMHS	NNAMHS NNAMHS Shelter Plus Care 5 421,272 1						TRA	1		
(10) Subtotal: Requested Amount for S+C Renewal Projects:				\$421,272						
(11) Total CoC Requested Amount:				\$1,371,343						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			•				(CoC-O	

CoC-Q

R: CoC Pro Rata Need (PRN) Reallocation Chart (Only for Eligible Hold Harmless CoCs)

<u>Advisory Warning:</u> According to the CoC competitive process, a CoC that scores below the initial funding line will not have the new projects on this chart funded. As such, the reallocated funds that had been used for renewals would no longer be available to the CoC.

1. Will your CoC be using the PRN reallocation process?	⊠ No			
If Yes, explain the open decision making process the CoC used to reduce and/or eliminate projects				
(use no more than one-half page). Not Applicable				
2. Enter the total 1-year amount of all SHP projects that are eligible	Example:	\$0		
for renewal in 2006, which amount you have verified with your field	\$530,000			
office:				

3. Starting with the total entered above for question 2, subtract the	Example:	\$0
amount your CoC proposes to use for new permanent housing	\$390,000	
projects, and enter the remaining amount:		
(In this example, the amount proposed for new PH projects is \$140,000)		

4. Enter the Reduced or Eliminated Grant(s) in the 2006 Competition -Not applicable							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
Expiring Grants	Program	Component	Annual Renewal	Reduced	Retained Amount		
	Code		Amount	Amount	from Existing Grant		
Ex: MA01B300002	SHP	TH	\$100,000	\$60,000	\$40,000		
Ex: MA01B400003	SHP	SSO	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$0		
					0		
	(7) TOTAL:	0	0	0		

5. Newly Proposed Permanent Housing Projects in the 2006 Competition						
	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)		
2006 Projec	t Priority Number	Program Code	Component	Transferred Amounts		
Example:	#5	SHP	PH	\$90,000		
Example:	#12	S+C	TRA	\$50,000		
			(12) TOTAL :	0		

CoC-R

S: CoC Project Leveraging Summary Chart

Name of Continuum	Total Value of Written Commitment		
Reno/Sparks/Washoe County CoC	\$4,093,097		

CoC-S

T: CoC Current Funding and Renewal Projections Chart

T: CoC Current Funding and Renewal Projections

Supportive Housing Program (SHP) Projects:						
Type of Housing	All SHP Funds Requested (Current Year)	Renewal Projections				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Transitional Housing (TH)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Safe Havens-TH	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Permanent Housing (PH) Restart	\$501,744	\$812,490	\$812,490	\$812,490	\$812,490	\$812,490
Safe Havens-PH	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SSO Cottonwood	\$ 51,955	\$ 51,955	\$ 51,955	\$ 51,955	\$ 51,955	\$ 51,955
HMIS ReStart	\$110,292	\$110,292	\$110,292	\$110,292	\$110,292	\$110,292
Totals	\$663,991	\$974,737	\$974,737	\$974,737	\$974,737	\$974,737

Shelter Plus Care (S+C) Projects: NNAMHS and Washoe	Shelter Plus C	re (S+C)) Projects:	NNAMHS and	l Washoe Countv
---	-----------------------	----------	-------------	------------	-----------------

Number of Bedrooms	Re	equested rent Year)		2007		2008	<u> </u>	al Projections	ı	2010		2011
	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$
0	17	\$348,429	9	\$64,368	9	\$64,368	9	\$64,368	17	\$121,584	25	\$178,800
1	32	\$273,408	32	\$273,408	32	\$273,408	32	\$273,408	32	\$273,408	32	\$273,408
2	5	\$52,800	5	\$52,800	5	\$52,800	5	\$52,800	5	\$52,800	5	\$52,800
3	2	\$30,696	2	\$30,696	2	\$30,696	2	\$30,696	2	\$30,696	2	\$30,696
Totals	56	\$705,333	48	\$421,272	48	\$421,272	48	\$421,272	56	\$478,488	64	\$535,704

Part IV: CoC Performance

U: CoC Achievements Chart

Enter the goals and action steps that you that you listed on your 2005 CoC application and briefly describe measurable achievements in the past 12 months. The information provided in the first two columns should be the same as provided in the 2005 CoC application. Add rows as needed.

Goals	Action Steps	Measurable Achievements
Chronic Homelessness G	oals	
1: End Chronic Homelessness through development of permanent supportive housing to serve the chronically homeless	1.1 Develop new permanent supportive housing resources to serve the chronically homeless in Northern Nevada via the 2004-05 CoC application process	1.1 Continuum of Care was awarded 8 additional Shelter plus Care beds as a result of the 2005 competition. All beds serve the chronically homeless.
	1.2 Advocate for shelter plus care match of state funds for rural Nevada (AB 520) to increase housing resources in Northern Nevada for chronically homeless	1.2 AB 520 was introduced in Spring 2005. RAAH members advocated at two hearings of the legislature. The measure did not reach a floor vote and failed in Committee.
	1.3 Conduct education and outreach with homeless service providers, faith based members, mental health and substance abuse providers to increase awareness of chronic homelessness and housing first strategies	1.3 RAAH meetings, public forums, strategic planning activities all focused on increasing awareness of chronic homelessness and housing first strategies to service providers. As a result, chronic homelessness is now referenced in the Washoe County Human Services Strategic Plan, the Senior Citizens Strategic Plan and in the Fund for a Healthy Nevada prioritization reports.
2. Develop and adopt a Northern Nevada plan to end chronic	2.1 Create template for plan to end chronic homelessness	2.1 Completed in September 2005
homelessness	2.2 Identify stakeholders (commissioners, agency heads, law enforcement, consumers, medical professions, social workers) to participate on planning subcommittee for Northern Nevada	2.2 Currently 33 members and staff from the three jurisdictions are participating in the planning process, representing all stakeholders listed in 2.2
	2.3 State policy academy to	2.3 Completed October 2005

	present statewide strategies to end chronic homelessness	
	2.4 Identify goals, strategies, timing and persons responsible to end chronic homelessness	2.4 Goals, strategies, timing and persons responsible have been identified and documented into the draft plan.
	2.5 Draft plan and present to RAAH, Mayor, City Councils and County Commission	2.5 Plan has been drafted and was finalized on May 18 and will be presented to the parties listed in 2.5 by July 1
	2.6 Adopt plan	2.6 Plan will be adopted by July 30, 2006
3. Increase length of stay in housing and income of chronically homeless	3.1 Evaluate admission and discharge policies of existing programs to determine barriers to stay for chronically homeless participants	3.1 Formed SOAR team to recruit current providers to participate in team. Attended training on admission and discharge policies that act as barriers.
	3.2 Identify strategies to increase length of stay by revising admission and discharge criteria and policies at programs serving chronically homeless	3.2 Attended SOAR training to identify strategies to increase length of stay.
	3.3 Implement extended stay strategies for chronic homelessness by program	3.3 Included extended stay information in planning process to end chronic homelessness.
	3.4 Promote use of single application form to apply for mainstream resources	3.4 Deferred while waiting for Governor to appoint Task Force to end Chronic Homelessness.
	3.5 Conduct orientation for service providers of how to access mainstream resources for chronically homeless clients based on TA received from Home base	3.5 Conducted SOAR training in March 2006
4: Link chronically homeless to housing via homeless resource center to be part of Phase 2 of	4.1 Develop and secure funding for homeless resource center.	4.1 Homeless resource center received funding in 2006.

the construction of the emergency shelter built in 2005	4.2 Coordinate services between homeless resource center and service providers in the community	4.2 Subcommittee to coordinate services has been established.
	4.3 Recruit additional substance abuse, mental health and dual diagnosis service providers and basic service providers to participate in providing services at homeless resource center	4.3 Negotiations are underway between service providers and the City of Reno.
	4.4 Obtain training for key participants to conduct outreach to chronic homeless with substance abuse and mental health disorders that includes existing efforts and new homeless resource center	4.4 CIT Training provided in 2005.
	4.5 Begin providing homeless resource center services to chronically homeless	4.5 Deferred
Other Homelessness Goa	ls	
Improve coordination and delivery of services to RAAH's homeless	5.1 Identify additional service providers to participate in HMIS	5.1 Achieved by lead agency 2005
by collecting data about them and the services they apply for and/or receive.	5.2 Evaluate hardware and software needs of new participants	5.2 Achieved by lead agency 2005 and 2006
receive.	5.3 Train HMIS program administrators to use HMIS system	5.3 Achieved by lead agency 2005 and 2006
	5.4 Develop minimum data release thresholds for information sharing between agencies	5.4 Achieved by lead agency and HMIS Steering Committee 2006
	5.5 Use homeless discharge data from Northern Nevada HMIS to determine program performance and barriers confronted by clients released with nowhere to	5.5 Not sufficient data yet to complete this action.

	go	
	5.6 Use Northern Nevada HMIS client outcome data to recommend policy changes to improve homeless services and discharge outcomes in Northern Nevada	5.6 Not sufficient data yet to complete this action.
6. Open homeless resource center located within new emergency shelter	6.1 Identify funding to support the homeless resource center and family centered emergency shelter with case management and supportive services	6.1 The three jurisdictions are pooling HUD Emergency Shelter Grant monies to support operations; the City of Reno will provide the facilities and maintenance. ReStart is growing an endowment fund, with a target of \$2,000,000. In addition, the jurisdictions in concert with community groups and service providers are planning to ask the 2007 Nevada Legislature for an appropriation to support expanded operations.
	6.2 Submit applications to three private foundations for capital grant to fund construction of Phase 2 of the Campus	6.2 The City of Reno has submitted two grant applications for Phase II, with a third application in development. The City submitted an application to the AHP program of Federal Home Loan Bank for \$657,000 to construct and furnish the Single Women's Shelter (we learn the outcome of this on or around May 25, 2006); the City has submitted a HUD EDI application in the amount of \$750,000 to complete the Triage Center (City's #1 federal priority, currently supported by Sen. Reid); the City, in concert with ReStart, is finalizing an application to the Kresge Foundation for \$1,250,000 to construct the Family Shelter and Community Resource Center Building.
	6.3 Develop MOU's for all providers offering services at the homeless resource center	6.3 The City of Reno currently operates the Men's Drop-In Center through a contract with the Reno-

City has
е
nd
idelines
and an
amily
truction
s
S

CoC-U

V: CoC Chronic Homeless (CH) Progress Chart

This chart should be based on January 2006 point-in-time counts. For further instructions in filling out this chart, please see the Instructions section.

Year	(1) Number of CH Persons	er of Number of PH	(3) New PH beds for the CH between Feb. 1, 2005 – Jan. 31, 2006	(4) Identify the cost of the <u>new</u> CI from each funding source Public			H beds Private
				Federal	State	Local	
2004	216	83					
2005	302	90					
2006	59	120	30	\$310,745	\$0	\$25,000	\$1,000

⁽⁵⁾ Briefly describe the reason(s) for any changes in the total number of the chronically homeless between 2005 and 2006 (use less than one-half page).

The number of chronically homeless went down between 2005 and 2006 for several reasons. First, the number of beds for the chronically homeless increased, providing more resources for the population. As they remain in permanent housing, the number on the street goes down. Second, education has raised awareness among service providers. They have all been trained in a housing first approach and now understand the cost of leaving the chronically homeless unserved. In the past 24 months the Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) has focused on identifying and linking chronically homeless to services. It is believed that these efforts, linked with greater awareness and more resources has led to the decline in the number of chronically homeless.

CoC-V

W: CoC Housing Performance Chart

1. l	1. Participants in Permanent Housing			
	No applicable PH renewals are on the CoC Project Priorities Chart	APR		
\boxtimes	All PH renewal projects with APRs submitted are included in calculating the responses below	Data		
a. I	Number of participants who exited PH project(s)—APR Question 12(a)	26		
b. 1	Number of participants who did not leave the project(s)—APR Question 12(b)	91		

c. Number who exited after staying 7 months or longer in PH—APR Question 12(a)	12	
d. Number who did not leave after staying 7 months or longer in PH—APR question 12(b)		
e. Percentage of all participants in PH projects staying 7 months or longer (c. + d. divided by a. + b. multiplied by 100 = e.)		
2. Participants in Transitional Housing (TH)		
HUD will be assessing the percentage of all TH clients who moved to a permanent housing		
situation. TH projects include SHP-TH and SHP-Safe Haven/TH <i>not</i> identified as permanent		
housing. Complete the following chart utilizing data based on the preceding operating year fro		
APR Question 14 for TH renewal projects included on your CoC Priorities Chart.		
No applicable TH renewals are on the CoC Project Priorities Chart	APR	
All TH renewal projects with APRs submitted are included in calculating the responses below	Data	
a. Number of participants who exited TH project(s)—including unknown destination		
b. Number of participants who moved to PH		
c. Percent of participants in TH projects who moved to PH (b. divided by a. multiplied by $100 = c$.)	%	
	CoC-W	

X: Mainstream Programs and Employment Project Performance Chart

	No applicable renewal projects for the Mainstream Programs and Employment Chart included in the CoC Priorities Chart.
\boxtimes	All non-HMIS renewal projects on the CoC Priorities Chart that submitted an APR are included in calculating the responses below.

(1) Number of Adults	(2) Income Source	(3) Number of Exiting	(4) Percent with	
Who Left (Use same	income source	Adults with Each	Income at Exit	
number in each cell)		Source of Income	(Col 3÷Col 1 x 100)	
Example: 105	a. SSI	40	38.1%	
Example: 105	b. SSDI	35	33.3%	
31	a. SSI	8	25.8%	
31	b. SSDI	13	41.9%	
31	c. Social Security	2	6.4%	
31	d. General Public Assistance	0	0%	
31	e. TANF	2	6.4%	
31	f. SCHIP	00	0%	
31	g. Veterans Benefits	0	0%	
31	h. Employment Income	8	25.8%	
31	i. Unemployment Benefits	0	0%	
31	j. Veterans Health Care	0	0%	
31	k. Medicaid	5	16.1%	
31	1. Food Stamps	7	22.6%	
31	m. Other (please specify)	4	12.9%	
31	n. No Financial Resources	7	22.6%	

CoC-X

Y: E	Y: Enrollment and Participation in Mainstream Programs Chart				
Che	ck those activities implemented by a majority of your CoC's homeless assistance providers				
(che	eck all that apply):				
\boxtimes	A majority of homeless assistance providers have case managers systematically assist clients in				
	completing applications for mainstream benefit programs.				
\boxtimes	The CoC systematically analyzes its projects' APRs to assess and improve access to				
	mainstream programs.				
\boxtimes	The CoC contains a specific planning committee to improve CoC-wide participation in				
	mainstream programs.				
	A majority of homeless assistance providers use a single application form for four or more of				
Ш	the above mainstream programs.				
\square	The CoC systematically provides outreach and intake staff specific, ongoing training on how to				
	identify eligibility and program changes for mainstream programs.				
	The CoC has specialized staff whose only responsibility is to identify, enroll, and follow-up				
Ш	with homeless persons on participation in mainstream programs.				
\boxtimes	A majority of homeless assistance providers supply transportation assistance to clients to attend				
	mainstream benefit appointments.				
	A majority of homeless assistance providers have staff systematically follow-up to ensure that				
\boxtimes	mainstream benefits are received.				

CoC-Y

Z: Unexecuted Grants Awarded Prior to the 2005 CoC Competition Chart

Provide a list of <u>all</u> HUD McKinney-Vento Act awards made prior to the 2005 competition that are not yet under contract (i.e., signed grant agreement or executed ACC).

The CoC coordinates with the State Interagency Council(s) on Homelessness to reduce or

Project Number	Applicant Name	Project Name	Grant Amount	
Example: MI23B901002	Michiana Homes, Inc.	TH for Homeless	\$514,000	
Not applicable				
		Total:	\$0	

CoC-Z

AA: CoC Participation in Energy Star Chart

remove barriers to accessing mainstream services.

HUD promotes energy-efficient housing. All McKinney-Vento funded projects are encouraged to promote energy efficiency, and are specifically encouraged to purchase and use Energy Star labeled products. For information on the Energy Star initiative go to: http://www.energystar.gov.

Have you notified CoC members of the Energy Star initiative? ⊠Yes ☐ No				
Percentage of CoC projects on CoC Priority Chart using Energy Star appliances: 100 %				
		(CoC-AA	
AD.	Section 2 Employment Bolice Chart			
AB:	Section 3 Employment Policy Chart	VEC	NO	
		YES	NO	
1.	Is any project in your CoC requesting HUD funds for housing rehabilitation or new construction?			
2.	If you answered yes to Question 1: Is the project requesting \$200,000 or more?			
3.	If you answered yes to Question 2: What activities will the project undertake to ensure that employment and other ecopportunities are directed to low- and very low-income persons, per the Housing Development Act of 1968 (known as "Section 3")?			
Cł	neck all that apply:			
	The project will have a preference policy for hiring low- and very low-income persons residing in the service area or neighborhood where the project is located, and for hiring Youthbuild participants/graduates.			
	The project will advertise at social service agencies, employment and training centers, community centers, or other organizations that have frequent contact with low- and very low-income individuals, as well as local newspapers, shopping centers, radio, etc.			
	☐ The project will notify any area Youthbuild programs of job opportunities.			
	☐ If the project will be awarding competitive contracts of more than \$100,000, it will establish a preference policy for "Section 3 business concerns"* that provide economic opportunities and will include the "Section 3 clause"** in all solicitations and contracts.			
*A "Section 3 business concern" is one in which: 51% or more of the owners are section 3 residents of the area of service; or at least 30% of its permanent full-time employees are currently section 3 residents of the area of service, or within three years of their date of hire with the business concern were section 3 residents; or evidence of a commitment to subcontract greater than 25% of the dollar award of all subcontracts to businesses that meet the qualifications in the above categories is provided.				

**The "Section 3 clause" can be found at 24 CFR Part 135.

CoC-AB